Appendix A: Consideration of comments from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Comment from Committee	Reasons from the	Executive Member/Officer	Recommended Action
	Committee	Comment	
Section 2 of the proposed	Members believe	The Executive Member for	Following the recommendation
Grants Policy 2023/24 implies	that individuals and	Communities notes the	from members, Section 2 detailing
that an individual or informal	informal group (with	unintended apparent exclusion	the eligibility criteria to be
group (with no legal status)	on legal status)	of individuals/informal groups	amended from "be a constituted
can apply for a community	should be eligible	from being eligible to apply and	voluntary organisation, not-for-
grant, however, section 6	for a community	agrees that they should eligible.	profit organisation or"
states that only constituted	grant and so this		to "be (a) a constituted voluntary
voluntary organisations may	inconsistency		organisation, (b) not-for-profit
apply. This is contradictory.	remedied.		organisation, (c) an individual or
			informal group (with no legal
			status) with an agreed councillor
			mentor or (d)"
Members noted that it is	Members of the	Following the Overview and S	Following the proposal from
proposed to cease making	Overview and	Scrutiny Committee meeting,	Members, amend text in section 1
small grants of up to £300.	Scrutiny Committee	officers reviewed the fourteen	of the Grants policy 2023/24 to
	commented that	small grants awarded in	read:
	they believed there	2022/23. Of these, 12 were	"In 2023/24, individual community
	is still an ongoing	awarded £300, with the	grants of between £300 and
		remaining two awarded slightly	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Comment from Committee	Reasons from the	Executive Member/Officer	Recommended Action
	Committee	Comment	
	need for small	less – £285 and £290. While	£3,000" will be made".
	grants of up to £300.	arguably <i>very</i> small grants are	
		unlikely to enable a legacy	
		beyond the funding, it appears	
		that grants of £300 have led to	
		worthwhile projects previously.	
		Thus, the Executive Members	
		recognises the benefit of	
		reducing the lower grant	
		threshold from £500 to £300.	
That projects focusing on	Members felt that	The wording of the proposed	To respond to members concerns,
mental health should extend	the mental health	priority presented to the	Section 3 of the Community
beyond only young people and	projects could	Overview and Scrutiny	Grants Policy 2023/24 which
families as included in the	legitimately focus on	Committee that project could	currently includes the priority for
priority list in Table 1 of the	people of all ages.	"enable young people and	applications that "encourage
draft Community Grants		families to take care of their	communities to identify a local
Policy.		mental health, achieve a healthy	health and wellbeing issue and
		weight and stay active" was	address it together"
		designed in recognition that the	will be amended to "encourage
		issues of mental health and	communities to identify a local
		wellbeing among young people	physical and/or mental health and

Comment from Committee	Reasons from the	Executive Member/Officer	Recommended Action
	Committee	Comment	
		and families can sometime be overlooked, especially in relation to emerging from the pandemic. There was no intention of excluding applications focused on older people's mental wellbeing. The Executive Member recognises,	wellbeing issue and address it together." It is felt this clearly demonstrates the council's openness to application seeking to address mental health issues across the age range.
		however, that the current wording could be read in this way.	
Members commented that the	Members felt	Addressing employability and	Section 3 of the Community
list of priorities could include a greater focus employability and return to work issues.	inclusion of such a priority was important given the current cost of living crisis.	return to work issues is primarily covered by the council's economic development funding programmes which are available to businesses,	Grants Policy 2023/24 which currently includes the priority for applications that "support residents experiencing loneliness, isolation or domestic abuse or who find it difficult to interact with
Section 3 of the Grants Policy		individuals and community	the community"
2023/24 detailing the Grants Priorities has a priority that		sector partners. The Executive Member recognises, however,	to be amended to "support residents experiencing loneliness,

Comment from Committee	Reasons from the	Executive Member/Officer	Recommended Action
	Committee	Comment	
states "support residents		that applications which include	isolation, domestic abuse, cost-of-
experiencing loneliness,		an element of enhancing	living challenges, or those who
isolation or domestic abuse or		employability would merit	find it difficult to interact with the
who find it difficult to interact		consideration for a community	community."
with the community"		grant.	
Applications from town and parish council should be ineligible because these council can raise their own resources from their preceptraising power and/or their reserves.	Town and parish councils have greater ability than many voluntary and community groups to raise money and/or use reserves and so including them in the eligible applicants could adversely affect the resources available to the voluntary and community sector.	Town and parish councils have been eligible to bid for a community grant from the council for many years, however, records show that relatively few awards are made to them. Of note, in the two years to 2022/23, only four applications were received from a town or parish council with just one of these being successful. The Executive Member recognises that many of the town and parish council will be	 The 'Match funding' assessment criterion be amended for application from town or parish councils such that: O points awarded to an application from a town or parish council providing match funding in cash (not in-kind support) of up to the same amount being applied for from the council 1 point awarded to an application from a town or parish council providing match funding of an amount greater than that being applied for

Comment from Committee	Reasons from the	Executive Member/Officer	Recommended Action
	Committee	Comment	
		able to fund their own projects,	from the council
		however, she is of the view that	• 2 and 3 points will not be
		the council's community grants	available to town or parish
		programme provides an	council applications
		appropriate potential funding	
		source for the smaller councils.	
		It is worth noting that the	
		assessment criteria under	
		section 4 of the proposed	
		Community Grants Policy have	
		been designed in such a way as	
		to award more points to the	
		smaller voluntary or community	
		groups over town and parish	
		councils. As discussed above,	
		similar criteria used previously	
		have worked to promote	
		voluntary and community	
		groups' applications over those	
		of town and parish councils.	

Comment from Committee	Reasons from the	Executive Member/Officer	Recommended Action
	Committee	Comment	
		There is a case for reflecting in	
		the assessment criteria the	
		legitimate expectation that	
		town and parish councils can	
		provide more match funding	
		than other bodies.	